



L64A-003A

Project Information

Project Nbr: 265866 **Title:** BLUE AGAVE
Project Mgr: Dye, Morris (619) 446-5201 mdye@sandiego.gov



Review Information

Cycle Type: 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 12/09/2011	Deemed Complete on 01/10/2012
Reviewing Discipline: BDR-Structural	Cycle Distributed: 01/10/2012	
Reviewer: Shadyab, Mehdi (619) 446-5067	Assigned: 01/11/2012	
	Started: 01/19/2012	
Hours of Review: 2.00	Review Due: 02/08/2012	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Completed: 01/19/2012	COMPLETED ON TIME
	Closed: 03/06/2012	

- . The review due date was changed to 03/05/2012 from 02/13/2012 per agreement with customer.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for BDR-Structural on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 22 outstanding review issues with BDR-Structural (all of which are new).
- . Last month BDR-Structural performed 823 reviews, 92.5% were on-time, and 93.6% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

Discretionary Review Comments

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	No Rechecks: Please be advised that the issues stated herein are preliminary and are advisory in nature. Their purpose is to give the design consultants an advanced notice of issues which have to be corrected and/or addressed when plans are submitted for the ministerial review. Plans for recheck of issues under this preliminary review need not be submitted and recheck will not be performed. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Scope of review: Please be advised that the scope of this review is limited to a discretionary plan review. The plans, drawings and reports have NOT been reviewed for the purpose of issuing a building permit. A comprehensive and complete review will be performed by City staff when a complete set of construction document drawings and reports are submitted for the purpose of ministerial review and for obtaining a building permit. The comments stated herein are advisory and are for information only. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	Designer's responsibility: It is the responsibility of the architect or engineer of record to assure that all the requirements of the latest adopted edition of the CBC, as well as other regulations and ordinances of the City of San Diego are satisfied and incorporated in the plans, specifications and structural calculations. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	Not a complete list: The following comments are only a partial list of issues discovered as a result of this discretionary review and responses to the specific questions asked. They are NOT to be construed as a complete list of corrections or a complete list of issues. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	5	EXIT ANALYSIS. When plans are submitted for the purpose of ministerial review and for obtaining a building permit, provide a complete exit analysis; showing actual and allowable occupant loads, exit access, exits and exit discharge, length of common path of egress travel, exit access travel distance, and etc. in accordance with the requirements set forth in Ch 10, 07CBC. Occupant loads are determined by the actual floor area and the occupant load factors set forth in Chapter 10, Section 1004, and Table 1004.1.1. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	6	Length of Common Path of Egress Travel: The length of common path of egress travel shall conform to the requirements set forth in Section 1014.3. Show length of common path of egress travel on plans at all levels and verify that compliance with this code provision is met. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	7	Travel Distance Limitations: Exits shall be so located on each story such that maximum length of exit access travel, shall not exceed the distance given in Table 1016.1. [Section 1016.1]. Show length of travel distance as defined in Section 1016.1 on plans at all levels and verify that compliance with this code provision is met. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	8	Disabled accessibility: The proposed project [the site, buildings, parking, path of travel, storage, etc.] must be accessible by persons with disabilities, and shall comply with the applicable provisions set forth in Chapter 11A (privately funded housing), Chapter 11B (public accommodations, commercial buildings and publicly funded housing), Chapter 11C (Standards for Card Readers at Gasoline Fuel-Dispensing Facilities) of the governing California Building Code, as well as with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) regulations, Title II and Title III as applicable. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	9	ADA Regulations (1 of 2): The proposed project must also be in compliance with applicable accessibility provisions of the American with Disabilities Act. Please be advised that this jurisdiction has no legal authority to enforce and/or review construction plans for compliance with the ADA, disabled accessibility regulations. ADA requirements are strictly enforced by the Civil Rights Section of the Department of Justice of the Federal Government. This jurisdiction however has the legal authority to enforce the disabled accessibility requirements of the State of California, Title 24, Part 2. (New Issue)

For questions regarding the 'BDR-Structural' review, please call Mehdi Shadyab at (619) 446-5067. Project Nbr: 265866 / Cycle: 2





L64A-003A

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	10	ADA Regulations (2 of 2): The Registered Design Professional of Record has the responsibility to assure that the proposed development project is in compliance with both, the governing Federal ADA, and the State of California disabled accessibility regulations. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	11	Accessible Route of Travel: Route of travel from accessible parking stall (s) to the primary entrance to the building or facility and the primary path of travel to the specific area of alteration, structural repair or addition, and sanitary facilities, drinking fountains, signs and public telephones serving the area shall be made accessible to disabled persons. Show this accessible route of travel on plan in compliance with applicable provisions of Chapter 11A. [Sec. 1134B]. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	12	Chapter 7-A: Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone: The lot where this project is proposed is located partially or totally within the Very high Fire Hazard Severity Zone designed on the Very high Fir Hazard Severity Zone map adopted pursuant to Ordinance O-19884 N.S. and effective on august 27, 2009. (SDMC Sec. 55.5001). New buildings, additions to existing buildings and alterations to existing buildings affecting building components regulated in Chapter 7A shall comply with Chapter 7A as adopted and amended by the City of San Diego. (SDMC Sec. 145.0702). (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	13	FAA-Part 77-Noticing Requirements: Due to the height and proximity of the proposed project to <Montgomery Field>, your project must be submitted to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) for Obstruction Evaluation and Airport Airspace Analysis as required by the Code of Federal Regulations Title 14 Part 77, Subpart B to ensure that the structure will not be an obstruction or hazard to air navigation. The following is a link to the FAA website for submitting projects (form 4760-1) to the FAA: www.oaaaa.faa.gov . (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	14	FAA-Part 77, Contd.: Also, refer to Information Bulletin 520 for additional information. The City will not require notification to the FAA if a professional, licensed by the state of California complies with the self-certification requirements set forth under Section III. You may find this Info Bulletin on the Department web page at http://www.sandiego.gov/development-services/ . Look for the 'FAA Notification' tab on the right hand side of the screen. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	15	Please be advised, a building permit will NOT be issued until FAA has cleared the issue associated with the height of the proposed building or, a professional, licensed by the state of California complies with the self-certification provision set forth under Section III of Information Bulletin 520 . (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	16	New Patio Canopy structure construction shall meet or exceed the construction type of the building it is attached to. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	17	Automatic Sprinkler System: The entire existing tenant space (drinking establishment/night club) and the new proposed patio area shall be provided with automatic sprinkler system in compliance with the requirements of Section 903, 2010 CBC. As per Section 903.2.1.2, the fire area (existing + new patio area) exceeds 5,000 square feet and, the fire area has an occupant load of 100 or more. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	18	Fire Separation Distance: Show on plan fire separation distance from face of proposed patio structure to the property line. The west side of the proposed patio does not appear to be in compliance with required fire-resistance rating requirements. Fire-resistance rating of building elements shall be in conformance with the requirements set forth in Table 601. Fire-resistance rating requirements of exterior walls shall be in conformance to the requirements set forth in Section 602 and in Table 602. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	19	Openings in Exterior walls: The west side of the proposed patio does not appear to be in compliance with opening fire-resistive and opening prohibition requirements. Openings within 3 ft to property line from face of patio structure is not permitted. Other opening areas and fire-rating not in compliance. Allowance of openings and allowable area of openings shall be in conformance with the provisions set forth in Section 704 and Table 704.8. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	20	Projections: All projections shall comply with the requirements of Section 705.2. Show on plan and verify compliance with this requirement. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	21	Not a complete list: The discretionary comments stated above are only a partial list of issues discovered as a result of this discretionary review and responses to the specific questions asked. They are NOT to be construed as a complete list of corrections or a complete list of issues. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	22	/// (New Issue)





L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 12/09/2011	Deemed Complete on 01/10/2012
Reviewing Discipline: Plan-Facilities Financing	Cycle Distributed: 01/10/2012	
Reviewer: Burgess, Victoria (619) 236-6262	Assigned: 01/11/2012	
Hours of Review: 0.20	Started: 01/13/2012	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Review Due: 02/08/2012	
	Completed: 01/13/2012	COMPLETED ON TIME
	Closed: 03/06/2012	

- . The review due date was changed to 03/05/2012 from 02/13/2012 per agreement with customer.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for Plan-Facilities Financing on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Last month Plan-Facilities Financing performed 48 reviews, 91.7% were on-time, and 97.8% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

Impact Fees

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	A Development Impact Fee (DIF) of \$8,711.48 is required for this project. A Housing Trust Fund (HTF) fee of \$453.76 is required for this project. These fees are due at the time of building permit issuance. Contact: Vicki Burgess, Facilities Financing, 619-533-3684. (New Issue) [Recommended]





L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 12/09/2011	Deemed Complete on 01/10/2012
Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Transportation Dev	Cycle Distributed: 01/10/2012	
Reviewer: French, Tanner (619) 446-5493	Assigned: 01/10/2012	
Hours of Review: 6.00	Started: 02/08/2012	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Review Due: 02/08/2012	
	Completed: 02/08/2012	COMPLETED ON TIME
	Closed: 03/06/2012	

- . The review due date was changed to 03/05/2012 from 02/13/2012 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Transportation Dev on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 4 outstanding review issues with LDR-Transportation Dev (all of which are new).
- . The reviewer has not signed off 1 job.
- . Last month LDR-Transportation Dev performed 24 reviews, 87.5% were on-time, and 52.6% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

1st Review // 02.08.12

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
-----------------	------------	-------------------

- | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 1 | <p>Project Description (information only, no action required):</p> <p>Transportation Development has completed its first review of a Conditional Use Permit to include a 709 square foot patio to an existing 4,821 square foot bar/nightclub at 6608 Mission Gorge Rd in the CC-1-3 Zone of Navajo Community Plan.</p> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 2 | <p>(New Issue)</p> <p>Parking:</p> <p>A 709 square foot addition to the existing bar/nightclub will result in four (4) additional required parking spaces using the minimum required parking ratio from Land Development Code (LDC) Section 142.0530 Table 142-05D. Please show that the site plan is providing for these spaces.</p> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 3 | <p>(New Issue)</p> <p>Loading:</p> <p>Please explain whether the roll-up door in the rear of the property is used by the Blue Agave or a neighboring tenant and whether the patio precludes the use of the door.</p> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 4 | <p>(New Issue)</p> <p>Contact Information (Information only, no action required):</p> <p>Additional comments and conditions may be provided pending further review or redesign of this project. Please feel free to contact Tanner French for any transportation related issues.</p> <p>Phone: 619.446.5493</p> <p>Email: tfrench@sandiego.gov (New Issue)</p> |





L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 12/09/2011	Deemed Complete on 01/10/2012
Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Planning Review	Cycle Distributed: 01/10/2012	
Reviewer: Gibbs, Antoinette (619) 446-5475	Assigned: 01/12/2012	
Hours of Review: 3.00	Started: 02/09/2012	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Review Due: 03/05/2012	
	Completed: 02/29/2012	COMPLETED ON TIME
	Closed: 03/06/2012	

- . The review due date was changed to 03/05/2012 from 02/13/2012 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Planning Review on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 15 outstanding review issues with LDR-Planning Review (all of which are new).
- . The reviewer has not signed off 1 job.
- . Last month LDR-Planning Review performed 135 reviews, 61.5% were on-time, and 50.8% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

First Review Cycle

Permit, Process,

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	The proposed project is located within the Community Plan Implementation Overlay Zone (CPIOZ A) and the CC-1-3 zone of the Navajo Community Plan. The project is proposing to convert an existing loading zone to a patio area for the night club. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	The project as proposed requires a Conditional Use Permit (CDP, Process 4 Planning Commission as decision maker) for Night and bars over 5,000 sq. ft per SDMC Section 141.0614. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	Please provide the total square footage for structures on site to confirm the current floor area prior to the proposed addition. The square footage for all structures on the project site will need to be included in the FAR calculation. The information provided on the cover sheet is only for that tenant space (Blue Agave), the maximum FAR of .75 applies to the entire site. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	The project is being reviewed with the Land Development Code and the Navajo Community Plan (pg 31) as it relates to CPIOZ A requirements. (New Issue)

Supplemental Regulations 143.0

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	5	Off-street parking shall be provided at a level sufficient to serve the facility without impacting adjacent or nearby property. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	6	Hours of operation shall be limited so that neighboring development is not disturbed by noise and lights. Please provide the hours of operation within the project data section (Sheet A1.0). The hours of operation will be a condition of the permit. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	7	A litter control plan shall be implemented to keep the facility and adjacent property free of litter. (New Issue)

Draft Conditions

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	8	There shall be compliance with the regulations of the underlying zone(s) unless a deviation or variance to a specific regulation(s) is approved or granted as condition of approval of this permit. Where there is a conflict between a condition (including exhibits) of this permit and a regulation of the underlying zone, the regulation shall prevail unless the condition provides for a deviation or variance from the regulations. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	9	No fewer than (xx) off-street parking spaces shall be maintained on the property at all times in the approximate locations shown on the Exhibit "A". Parking spaces shall comply at all times with the SDMC and shall not be converted for any other use unless otherwise authorized by the Development Services Department. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	10	The height(s) of the building(s) or structure(s) shall not exceed those heights set forth in the conditions and the exhibits (including, but not limited to, elevations and cross sections) or the maximum permitted building height of the underlying zone, whichever is lower, unless a deviation or variance to the height limit has been granted as a specific condition of this permit. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	11	A topographical survey conforming to the provisions of the Municipal/Land Development Code may be required if it is determined, during construction, that there may be a conflict between the building(s) under construction and a condition of this permit or a regulations of the underlying zone. The cost of any such survey shall be borne by the permittee. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	12	Any future requested amendment to this permit shall be reviewed for compliance with the regulations of the underlying zone(s) which are in effect on the date of the submittal of the requested amendment. (New Issue)

For questions regarding the 'LDR-Planning Review' review, please call Antoinette Gibbs at (619) 446-5475. Project Nbr: 265866 / Cycle: 2





L64A-003A

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	13	All signs shall comply with the San Diego Municipal Code Chapter 14, Article 2, Division 12, "Sign Regulations (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	14	All fences and retaining walls shall comply with the San Diego Municipal Code Section 142.0301. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	15	The hours of operation of the facility shall be between xx:00 P.M. and XX:00 A.M. (New Issue)





L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 12/09/2011	Deemed Complete on 01/10/2012
Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Environmental	Cycle Distributed: 01/10/2012	
Reviewer: Lizzi, Philip (619) 446-5159	Assigned: 01/11/2012	
Hours of Review: 3.00	Started: 02/06/2012	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Review Due: 02/13/2012	
	Completed: 02/13/2012	COMPLETED ON TIME
	Closed: 03/06/2012	

- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Environmental on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 3 outstanding review issues with LDR-Environmental (all of which are new).
- . The reviewer has not signed off 1 job.
- . Last month LDR-Environmental performed 93 reviews, 33.3% were on-time, and 51.6% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

Extended Initial Study

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Additional information is required before an environmental review can be completed. The issues identified below and in any other discipline review comments must be addressed before an environmental determination can be made on this project. A determination on the type of document to be prepared will be made based on the information provided in any subsequent submittals. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Transportation and Permit staff have issues that need to be addressed prior to completing their comments. Environmental Analysis Section (EAS) staff will coordinate with these reviewing disciplines to determine if any impacts would occur as a result of this project. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	For any environmental questions please contact the EAS reviewer, Phil Lizzi at 619-446-5159. (New Issue)





L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 12/09/2011	Deemed Complete on 01/10/2012
Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Engineering Review	Cycle Distributed: 01/10/2012	
Reviewer: Canning, Jack (619) 446-5425	Assigned: 01/10/2012	
Hours of Review: 8.00	Started: 02/08/2012	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Review Due: 02/08/2012	
	Completed: 02/08/2012	COMPLETED ON TIME
	Closed: 03/06/2012	

- . The review due date was changed to 03/05/2012 from 02/13/2012 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Engineering Review on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 10 outstanding review issues with LDR-Engineering Review (all of which are new).
- . The reviewer has not signed off 1 job.
- . Last month LDR-Engineering Review performed 72 reviews, 97.2% were on-time, and 50.0% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

Engineering 1st Review

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	The Engineering Review Section has reviewed the subject development and have the following comments that need to be addressed prior to a Public Hearing. Upon resubmittal, we will complete our review of the Conditional Use Permit Plans. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Revise the Site Plan Sheet A1.1. Existing concrete patio was originally approved as an open area loading dock. Call out the Building Permit number for the patio cover that now covers the loading dock. If no permit exists, add a note that states: Patio cover to be a part of this review. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	Revise the Site Plan Sheet A1.1. Show and call out the location of the roof drains and how they are discharged. If no roof drains are proposed, add a note stating: NO ROOF DRAINS EXIST FOR THIS PROJECT. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	If no permit exists for the patio cover, submit a Standard Storm Water BMP Report, listed as a Water Quality Study in next time documents, that Identifies Pollutants from the Project Area (pg 13) and addresses how the 9 possible Low Impact Development (LID) BMP's and 6 possible Source Control BMP's (pgs 18-23) have been incorporated into the project, including treating the storm water from the roof structure. (continued below)
<input type="checkbox"/>	5	If any of the 15 possible BMP's have not been used in the project design, add a discussion in the report why the omitted BMP's are not feasible or not applicable. Please Note: A Water Quality Study is required, not a Water Quality Technical Report. City's Storm Water Standards are available online at: http://www.sandiego.gov/developmentsservices/news/pdf/stormwatermanual.pdf
<input type="checkbox"/>	6	(New Issue) This project will generate additional pedestrian traffic to the project site. Therefore, revise the Site Plan Sheet A1.1. Show and call out to add ADA compliant 2:1 transition sidewalks around the existing two driveways on Zion Road shown on the Site Plan, per current City Standard G-14A.
<input type="checkbox"/>	7	(New Issue) Revise the Site Plan Sheet A1.1. Add the visibility area triangles, per San Diego Municipal Code Diagram 113-02QQ, for the two driveways on Zion Road shown on the Site Plan. Call out no obstructions including landscaping or walls in the visibility area shall exceed 3 feet in height. (New Issue)





L64A-003A

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	8	Add a note that states: Once the Conditional Use Permit (CUP) is recorded the applicant shall provide documentation to the Development Services Project Manager that the ADA compliant 2:1 transition sidewalks around the existing two driveways on Zion Road have been constructed, to the satisfaction of the City Engineer. If this work is not completed within 60 days of the public hearing approval of the CUP the Permittee shall be deemed to be out of compliance with the Conditional Use Permit, and the Permit is subject to rescission by the Development Services Director. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	9	Development Permit Conditions will be determined on the next submittal when all requested information is provided. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	10	Additional comments may be recommended pending further review or any redesign of this project. These comments are not exclusive. Should you have any questions or comments, please call Jack Canning at 619 446-5425. (New Issue)





L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 12/09/2011	Deemed Complete on 01/10/2012
Reviewing Discipline: Community Planning Group	Cycle Distributed: 01/10/2012	
Reviewer: Dye, Morris (619) 446-5201	Assigned: 02/27/2012	
Hours of Review: 0.00	Started: 02/27/2012	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Review Due: 02/08/2012	
	Completed: 02/27/2012	COMPLETED LATE
	Closed: 03/06/2012	

- . The review due date was changed to 03/05/2012 from 02/13/2012 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for Community Planning Group on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 2 outstanding review issues with Community Planning Group (all of which are new).
- . Last month Community Planning Group performed 67 reviews, 55.2% were on-time, and 62.7% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

Contact the CPG

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
-----------------	------------	-------------------

<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Please contact the Chair for the Navajo Community Planners, Inc., (as identified in the assessment letter) to make arrangements to present your project for review at their next available meeting. This Community Plannig Group is officially recognized by the City as a representative of the community, and an advisor to the City in actions that would affect the community. The Development Services Department has notified the group of your request and has sent them a copy of your project plans and documents. (New Issue)
--------------------------	---	---

Navajo

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
-----------------	------------	-------------------

<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Please contact the Chair for the Navajo Community Planners, Inc., (as identified in the assessment letter) to make arrangements to present your project for review at their next available meeting. This Community Plannig Group is officially recognized by the City as a representative of the community, and an advisor to the City in actions that would affect the community. The Development Services Department has notified the group of your request and has sent them a copy of your project plans and documents. (New Issue)
--------------------------	---	---

