



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

August 29, 2013

Krystal Patterson  
PlanCom, Inc., agents representing AT&T Mobility

Sent via e-mail only to: [krystal.patterson@plancominc.com](mailto:krystal.patterson@plancominc.com)

Dear Krystal Patterson:

Subject: AT&T – Fire Station 31, 1<sup>st</sup> Review  
Project No. 323387; Internal Order No. 24003768  
Located at: 6002 Camino Rico  
Project Deemed Complete Date: 7/25/13

Development Services has completed a review of the most recent submittal of this project. Enclosed, a “Cycle Issues Report” provides review issues from staff representing various disciplines and outside agencies. Please submit the materials listed in the “Submittal Requirements Report” as soon as possible to facilitate efficient processing of your project.

Prior to resubmitting your project, refer to Information Bulletin 536 which outlines Wireless Communication Facility (WCF) project submittal requirements and provides answers to frequently asked questions. Links to this bulletin, the WCF Regulations, and more information are available on the WCF web page: [www.sandiego.gov/development-services/industry/information/telecom.shtml](http://www.sandiego.gov/development-services/industry/information/telecom.shtml)

If additional requirements arise during subsequent project reviews, we will identify the issues and the reason for the requirements. If the outstanding review issues are not resolved or required information is not submitted, we will continue processing the project; however please be advised that the project may be denied if the issues are not satisfactorily addressed or if the findings for approval cannot be made in the affirmative.

San Diego Municipal Code (SDMC) Section 126.0114 requires that permit applications be closed if the applicant fails to submit the requested information, fees, or deposits within 90 calendar days. Once closed, the application, plans, and other data submitted may be destroyed. To reapply the applicant may submit a new application, with all required submittal materials and fees. To avoid the closure of your application, you must resubmit your project with the materials and revisions requested **on or before December 2, 2013**. Resubmittals are provided on a walk-in basis and wait times vary. Please check in on the 3<sup>rd</sup> floor of the Development Services Center at 1222 First Avenue. During the time it takes for you to resubmit your project application, your application will be tolled for purposes of the time periods specified in the FCC Shot Clock Ruling.

Please provide the plans, information, revisions, and/or other documentation requested in the enclosed Cycle Issues Report and Submittal Requirements Report. The plans should be folded to an approximate 8 ½ x 11 inch size.

**CEQA Filing Fees:** The fees described below relate to the environmental review of this project and vary based on whether the project is exempt or requires an environmental document.

San Diego County Clerk Fee: The San Diego County Clerk now requires \$50.00 to post the required public notice informing the public that a draft environmental document has been prepared. A check made out to the San Diego County Clerk will be required prior to the distribution of the draft environmental document for public review.

CEQA Fees: California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) filing fees are required for projects with an environmental document (ND, MND or EIR). A Notice of Determination (NOD) must be filed within five working days after the project's approval and all appeal periods have been exhausted. Filing the NOD starts a 30-day statute of limitations on legal court challenges to the approval under CEQA. The NOD must be accompanied by a California Department of Fish and Game Fee (CDFG) filing fee or a CDFG "No Effect" determination form, and a San Diego County document handling fee.

*For projects with an environmental exemption:* A Notice of Exemption (NOE) will be filed with the County Clerk after your project approval and all appeal periods have been exhausted. The County requires a \$50 document handling fee to file a CEQA NOE. Prior to scheduling your project for a decision, a check payable to the "San Diego County Clerk" in the amount of \$50 must be forwarded to my attention. Please include your project number on the check. A receipt for this fee and a copy of the NOE will be forwarded to you after the 30-day posting requirement by the County Clerk.

*No Effect Determination (NED):* If you would like to request a NED, visit this web site to determine if your project qualifies:

<http://www.dfg.ca.gov/habcon/ceqa/nedprocess.htm>

*For projects with a ND, MND, or EIR:* Prior to scheduling your project for a decision, the following must be forwarded to me to be filed with the CEQA NOD (fees below are effective January 1, 2013 and adjust each year; for 2012 filing fees, please visit [www.dfg.ca.gov/habcon/ceqa/ceqa\\_changes.html](http://www.dfg.ca.gov/habcon/ceqa/ceqa_changes.html)):

- The **original** approved CDFG "No Effect" Form and a check for \$50 (handling fee) made payable to the "San Diego County Clerk"; **or**,
- A check, payable to the "San Diego County Clerk" in the amount of \$2,206.25 (\$2,156.25 CDFG fee + \$50 handling fee) if a Negative

Declaration or a Mitigated Negative Declaration was prepared for your project; **or** \$3,045.25 (\$2,995.25 CDFG Fee + \$50 handling fee) if an Environmental Impact Report was prepared for your project. Please include your project number on the check.

A receipt for the fee and a copy of the CDFG “No Effect” Form or NOD will be forwarded to you after the 30-day posting requirement by the County Clerk.

**Records Fee:** Prior to scheduling your project for a decision you must pay the Records Fee to cover the cost of imaging and archiving your complete project record electronically (see Information Bulletin 503). Please forward payment payable to the “City Treasurer” in the amount of \$90.

**Deposit Account:** Our most recent records show that there is a balance of \$7,202.43 in the Deposit Account for your project. However, please be advised that the cost of this review has not been posted to your Deposit Account, and it may take four to six weeks to post these charges to the account. Therefore, **before resubmitting your project**, please contact me to verify the additional deposit that will be required prior to resubmittal.

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding this letter, the information and revisions requested in the enclosed Cycle Issues Report, the plans and documentation listed in the Submittal Requirements Report, and/or any modifications to the project scope. I may be reached by phone at (619) 446-5349 or via e-mail at [ahempton@sandiego.gov](mailto:ahempton@sandiego.gov).

Sincerely,

---

Alex Hempton, AICP  
Development Project Manager

Enclosures:

1. Cycle Issues Report
2. Submittal Requirements Report
3. Invoice

cc: File



# Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
Development Services  
1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

## Project Information

**Project Nbr:** 323387      **Title:** AT&T Fire Station 31  
**Project Mgr:** Hempton, Alexander      (619) 446-5349      Ahempton@sandiego.gov



## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 1 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 07/24/2013	Deemed Complete on 07/25/2013
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> Community Planning Group	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 07/25/2013	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Hempton, Alexander (619) 446-5349 Ahempton@sandiego.gov	<b>Assigned:</b> 07/26/2013	
	<b>Started:</b> 08/01/2013	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 0.25	<b>Review Due:</b> 08/22/2013	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Completed:</b> 08/08/2013	<b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 08/29/2013	

- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for Community Planning Group on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 1 outstanding review issues with Community Planning Group (all of which are new).
- . Last month Community Planning Group performed 41 reviews, 39.0% were on-time, and 46.2% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

## CPG Recommendation

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Please present project to the Navajo CPG for a recommendation. (New Issue)





L64A-003A

## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 1 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 07/24/2013	Deemed Complete on 07/25/2013
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> Outside Agency	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 07/25/2013	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Hempton, Alexander (619) 446-5349 Ahempton@sandiego.gov	<b>Assigned:</b> 07/26/2013	
	<b>Started:</b> 08/01/2013	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 0.25	<b>Review Due:</b> 08/22/2013	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Completed:</b> 08/22/2013	<b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 08/29/2013	

- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: Partial Response to Cmnts/Regs.
- . We request a 3rd complete submittal for Outside Agency on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 3 outstanding review issues with Outside Agency (2 of which are new issues).
- . Last month Outside Agency performed 3 reviews, 33.3% were on-time, and 50.0% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

## Fire Dept. Review

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	The following comments were received from Michelle Abella-Shon with the Fire Dept.  Michelle Abella-Shon Assoc. AIA, AICP Project Officer II San Diego Fire-Rescue Department  Logistics 3870 Kearny Villa Road  MS 15   San Diego, CA 92123 W 858/573-1362   C 619/964-7670 Email: MShon@sandiego.gov (From Cycle 3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2	What is the estimated time for construction by AT&T? (From Cycle 3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3	Will the trash enclosure be built first? This is our recommendation, to ensure that the crews continue to have full access to the bins. (From Cycle 3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4	Electrical meter will be separate for AT&T. Please provide location on plan (From Cycle 3)
<input type="checkbox"/>	5	We need to review roof access needs by AT&T in the lease agreement. They will be responsible for any repair/replacement necessary. This was verbally agreed upon but need to make sure the language is in the agreement. (From Cycle 3)

## 2nd Review

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	6	Provide low maintenance planting adjacent to the new wall to screen and buffer from kitchen patio area. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	7	Follow-up to previous issue #5:  Ensure that language is provided in the lease regarding AT&T roof access to ensure that any damage incurred shall be AT&T's responsibility to correct. (New Issue)





L64A-003A

Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 1 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 07/24/2013	Deemed Complete on 07/25/2013
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> LDR-Landscaping	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 07/25/2013	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Spindell, Glenn (619) 446-5353 gspindell@sandiego.gov	<b>Assigned:</b> 07/29/2013	
	<b>Started:</b> 08/07/2013	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 1.00	<b>Review Due:</b> 08/08/2013	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> LDR-Landscaping(Submit)	<b>Completed:</b> 08/07/2013	<b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 08/29/2013	

- . The review due date was changed to 08/22/2013 from 08/22/2013 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: Partial Response to Cmnts/Regs.
- . We request a 3rd complete submittal for LDR-Landscaping on this project as: LDR-Landscaping(Submit).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 8 outstanding review issues with LDR-Landscaping (4 of which are new issues).
- . Last month LDR-Landscaping performed 50 reviews, 66.0% were on-time, and 61.0% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

Review 052913

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Please revise plans and photographic to show existing landscaping within the scope of work for the equipment enclosure. Identify existing shrubs and trees with a dashed symbol and indicate whether to remain or to be removed. (From Cycle 2)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Note that it is customary to provide a key map to indicate where the photographs were taken from. (From Cycle 2)
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	Per the design requirements for Wireless Communication Facilities, equipment enclosures should be screened to provide 80 percent coverage within 2 years of installation. (From Cycle 2)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	Antenna are proposed to be screened by means of architectural concealment. As landscaping is not proposed as a means of concealing/ minimizing the visual impacts of the wireless communication facility, the Landscape Section of the Development Services Department has no further issues with this aspect of the project. (From Cycle 2)

Review 080813

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	5	Issues from the previous review which have not been fully addressed are shown in this report as not cleared, and are restated and clarified as follows. Note that supplemental plan review are charged where insufficient progress is made in responding to plan review comments. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	6	Identify existing shrubs and trees with a dashed symbol. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	7	Provide a key map to indicate where the photographs were taken from. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	8	Equipment enclosures should be screened to provide 80 percent coverage within 2 years of installation. (New Issue)





L64A-003A

Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 1 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 07/24/2013	Deemed Complete on 07/25/2013
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> LDR-Engineering Review	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 07/25/2013	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Bakier, Zakaria (619) 557-7982 Zbakier@sandiego.gov	<b>Assigned:</b> 07/26/2013	
	<b>Started:</b> 07/30/2013	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 1.00	<b>Review Due:</b> 08/08/2013	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Conditions	<b>Completed:</b> 07/30/2013	<b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 08/29/2013	

- . The review due date was changed to 08/22/2013 from 08/22/2013 per agreement with customer.
- . We request a 3rd complete submittal for LDR-Engineering Review on this project as: Conditions.
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 3 outstanding review issues with LDR-Engineering Review (3 of which are new issues).
- . Last month LDR-Engineering Review performed 68 reviews, 82.4% were on-time, and 52.6% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

1st Review

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
-----------------	------------------	-------------------

- |                                     |    |   |
|-------------------------------------|----|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 1  | The Engineering Review Section has reviewed the subject development and have the following comments that need to be addressed prior to a public hearing. Upon resubmittal, we will complete our review of the Neighborhood Use Permit Plans.<br><br>(From Cycle 2)  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 2  | Revise the site plan sheet Z01 to show drainage patterns around the proposed CMU walls. If the existing drainage will not be effected by the proposed walls, add a note that state " The proposed CMU wall at new trash enclosure and the 11' X 22' X 10' CMU wall enclosure will not alter or change the existing drainage patterns".<br><br>(From Cycle 2)          |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 3  | Revise the Site Plan Z01. Add a Grading Data Table with import/export quantities for the proposed electrical, coax trench and CMU walls. If the quantity is ZERO, add that value to the required Data Table.<br><br>(From Cycle 2)  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 4  | Revise the Site Plan Z01. Add a note that states: NO EXISTING PARKING SPACE WILL BE USED OR REMOVED BY THIS PROJECT.<br><br>(From Cycle 2)  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 5  | The subject development is a standard BMP project. Revise the site plan to include the "Lessee's Certificate Standard Wireless Facility Project for Post Construction BMPs" which is attached with the Assessment letters. Please contact me if Lessee's Certificate not attached. The Lessee needs to sign this certificate on the site plan.<br><br>(From Cycle 2)  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 6  | Revise the Site Plan Z01. Add a note that states: This project proposes no work within the Public Right-of-Way.<br><br>(From Cycle 2)   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 7  | Revise Site Plan Z01. Show the legal description for the adjacent properties<br><br>(From Cycle 2)  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 8  | Revise the Site Plan Z01. Add a note that states: Prior to the issuance of any construction permit, the Permittee shall incorporate any construction Best Management Practices necessary to comply with Chapter 14, Article 2, Division 1 (Grading Regulations) of the San Diego Municipal Code, into the construction plans or specifications.<br><br>(From Cycle 2) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 9  | Revise the Site Plan Z01. Add a note that states: Prior to the issuance of any construction permit the Permittee shall submit a Water Pollution Control Plan (WPCP). The WPCP shall be prepared in accordance with the guidelines in Appendix E of the City's Storm Water Standards.<br><br>(From Cycle 2)  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 10 | Development Permit Conditions will be determined on the next submittal when all requested information is provided.<br><br>(From Cycle 2)  |

For questions regarding the 'LDR-Engineering Review' review, please call Zakaria Bakier at (619) 557-7982. Project Nbr: 323387 / Cycle: 1





L64A-003A

1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	11	Additional comments may be recommended pending further review or any redesign of this project. These comments are not exclusive. Should you have any questions or comments, please call or e-mail Zakaria Bakier at 619-557-7982, zbakier@sandiego.gov.

(From Cycle 2)

### 📁 Conditions

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	12	The project proposes to export no material from the project site. Any excavated material that is exported, shall be exported to a legal disposal site in accordance with the Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction (the "Green Book"), 2003 edition and Regional Supplement Amendments adopted by Regional Standards Committee.
		(New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	13	Prior to the issuance of any construction permit, the Permittee shall incorporate any construction Best Management Practices necessary to comply with Chapter 14, Article 2, Division 1 (Grading Regulations) of the San Diego Municipal Code, into the construction plans or specifications.
		(New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	14	Prior to the issuance of any construction permit the Permittee shall submit a Water Pollution Control Plan (WPCP). The WPCP shall be prepared in accordance with the guidelines in Appendix E of the City's Storm Water Standards.

(New Issue)







L64A-003A

Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 1 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 07/24/2013	Deemed Complete on 07/25/2013
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> LDR-Environmental	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 07/25/2013	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Szymanski, Jeffrey (619) 446-5324 Jszymski@sandiego.gov	<b>Assigned:</b> 07/26/2013	
	<b>Started:</b> 08/20/2013	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 1.00	<b>Review Due:</b> 08/08/2013	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Completeness Review-Telecom	<b>Completed:</b> 08/29/2013	<b>COMPLETED LATE</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 08/29/2013	

- . The review due date was changed to 08/22/2013 from 08/22/2013 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 3rd complete submittal for LDR-Environmental on this project as: Completeness Review-Telecom.
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 2 outstanding review issues with LDR-Environmental (1 of which are new issues).
- . Last month LDR-Environmental performed 93 reviews, 45.2% were on-time, and 57.0% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

EAS Review 6/10/13

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	This project appears to be exempt from CEQA pursuant to section 15303 (new construction). However, please see comments from Planning staff. Until all issues are addressed the CEQA determination can not be made. (From Cycle 2)

EAS Review

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Please see comments from Planning, physical barriers need to be incorporated into the design at this stage, prior to building permit/construction, to ensure any required barriers comply with the WCF Design Requirements. Until this issue has been addressed the CEQA determination can not be made. (New Issue)





L64A-003A

## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 1 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 07/24/2013	Deemed Complete on 07/25/2013
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> ITC-Communications	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 07/25/2013	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Hempton, Alexander (619) 446-5349 Ahempton@sandiego.gov	<b>Assigned:</b> 07/26/2013	
	<b>Started:</b> 08/01/2013	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 0.25	<b>Review Due:</b> 08/22/2013	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Completed:</b> 08/08/2013	<b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 08/29/2013	

- . We request a 3rd complete submittal for ITC-Communications on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Last month ITC-Communications performed 40 reviews, 45.0% were on-time, and 90.0% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

## CR

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	This installation uses antennas located on a City Fire Station. The building may be maintained by city workers. The carrier should be made aware that appropriate signs should be installed to notify employees working on the building that a hazard exists and the location of the hazard. The carrier should be required to assess the level and location of the hazard and place the appropriate signs per CAL-OSHA requirements. (From Cycle 2)





L64A-003A

## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 1 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 07/24/2013	Deemed Complete on 07/25/2013
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> LDR-Planning Review	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 07/25/2013	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Hempton, Alexander (619) 446-5349 Ahempton@sandiego.gov	<b>Assigned:</b> 07/26/2013	
	<b>Started:</b> 08/01/2013	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 1.50	<b>Review Due:</b> 08/08/2013	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Completed:</b> 08/08/2013	<b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 08/29/2013	

- . The review due date was changed to 08/22/2013 from 08/22/2013 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 3rd complete submittal for LDR-Planning Review on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 3 outstanding review issues with LDR-Planning Review (1 of which are new issues).
- . Last month LDR-Planning Review performed 127 reviews, 44.9% were on-time, and 62.5% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

## Completeness Review

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	Provide a Landscape Development Plan pursuant to the City's Project Submittal Requirements for Development Permits. Changes are proposed to existing landscape material. Information needs to be provided to replace any landscape removed in-kind and to screen views of the WCF as seen from the public right-of-way. In addition, vines should be installed adjacent to the fire station patio to help screen the enclosure. (From Cycle 2)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2	Identify the setbacks for the RS-1-7 zone on the plan. Call out and dimension. (From Cycle 2)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3	Identify requested deviations on the title sheet. It appears that there are two deviations proposed: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. encroachment into setback</li> <li>2. exceeds 30-foot height limit (From Cycle 2)</li> </ol>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4	On photo sims, the equipment enclosure wall should match the existing square scoring pattern of the existing wall. Please revise. (From Cycle 2)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5	In the RF Report, Appendix A, showing ground-level RF exposure is missing. Please provide. (From Cycle 2)
<input type="checkbox"/>	6	The RF report indicates that "exclusion zones" are required at certain antenna sectors. Show what barriers and signage will be used so that it can be determined whether the signs and barriers are compatible with the proposed design. For example, a plastic yellow chain link barrier on the roof in front of the antennas would not be compatible with the proposed design. This needs to be figured out at this point to avoid conflicts during the construction and inspection phase. (From Cycle 2)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	7	On sheet Z03, call out the height of the existing building. (From Cycle 2)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	8	Please clarify whether the proposed equipment area is an open-air enclosure or a building with a roof. T01 refers to an enclosure, but a description of a building may be more accurate. (From Cycle 2)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9	Sheet Z05 shows proposed HVAC inside the equipment area and outside the trash enclosure. Please clarify. (From Cycle 2)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	10	Provide a noise analysis to demonstrate that the proposed HVAC units will comply with noise requirements as fire fighters sleep on site. If the noise report requires, provide a sound wall or other project feature if necessary. (From Cycle 2)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	11	The zone is RS-1-7, not RS-1-5. Please correct on sheet T01. (From Cycle 2)
<input type="checkbox"/>	12	Airport Influence Area - Review Area 2. This project is located within the Review Area 2 Airport Influence Area for Montgomery Field.  The FAA Notice Tool indicates that this proposed structure is in proximity to a navigation facility and may impact the assurance of navigation signal reception. The FAA, in accordance with 77.9, requests that you file.  Provide an FAA Determination of No Hazard. (From Cycle 2)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	13	Permits Required  Planned Development Permit, Process 4, for requested deviations.  Neighborhood Use Permit - required for a WCF located in a residential zone where the antennas are more than 100 feet from the property line of a residential use, day care, elementary school, or middle school. (From Cycle 2)

## 1st Review

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
-----------------	------------------	-------------------

For questions regarding the 'LDR-Planning Review' review, please call Alexander Hempton at (619) 446-5349. Project Nbr: 323387 / Cycle: 1





L64A-003A

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
-----------------	------------------	-------------------

<input type="checkbox"/>	14	Follow-up to previous issue #6:
--------------------------	----	---------------------------------

Identify whether any "hot spot" barriers are required in front of antenna sectors. Any physical barriers need to be incorporated into the design at this stage, prior to building permit/construction, to ensure any required barriers comply with the WCF Design Requirements.

Indicate on plans any hot spot/exclusion zones and identify any physical barriers or provide note on plans that no visible barriers are required. (New Issue)





# Submittal Requirements

8/29/13 2:41 pm

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
Development Services  
1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

Page 1 of 1

L64A-001

## Project Information

**Project Nbr:** 323387      **Title:** AT&T Fire Station 31  
**Project Mgr:** Hempton, Alexander      (619)446-5349      Ahempton@sandiego.gov



## Review Cycle Information

**Review Cycle:** 9 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)

**Opened:** 08/29/2013 2:36 pm

**Submitted:**

**Due:**

**Closed:**

## Required Documents:

<u>Package Type</u>	<u>Pkg Qty</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Qty Needed</u>
Applicant Correspondence	5	Applicant Correspondence	5
Dev. Plans reduced 11x17	5	Dev. Plans reduced 11x17	5





# Invoice

8/29/13 2:48 pm

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
Development Services  
1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

Page 1 of 1

L64A-007

Invoice Number: **506364**



Status: Invoiced

Issued: 08/29/2013 2:48 pm Hempton, Alexander

Voided:

Customer: Casey, Steven

Development: 1540 FIRE STATION 31

Project: **323387** AT&T Fire Station 31

PM: Hempton, Alexander (619)446-5349



Project Fees:

<u>Fee Description</u>	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fee Amount</u>
Records - Discretionary Proj	1.00	Each	\$90.00
<b>Approval Total:</b>			\$90.00
<b>Job Total:</b>			\$90.00
<b>Project Total:</b>			\$90.00
<b>Invoice Total:</b>			\$90.00

