



L64A-003A

Project Information

Project Nbr: 417126 **Title:** T-Mobile San Carlos Rec CUP
Project Mgr: Lynch, Karen (619) 446-5351 klynchash@sandiego.gov



Review Information

Cycle Type: 7 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 07/23/2015	Deemed Complete on 07/24/2015
Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Planning Review	Cycle Distributed: 07/24/2015	
Reviewer: Hempton, Alexander (619) 446-5349 Ahempton@sandiego.gov	Assigned: 07/29/2015	
	Started: 08/07/2015	
Hours of Review: 0.50	Review Due: 08/07/2015	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Completed: 08/07/2015	COMPLETED ON TIME
	Closed: 08/11/2015	

- . The review due date was changed to 08/10/2015 from 08/26/2015 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 3rd complete submittal for LDR-Planning Review on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 2 outstanding review issues with LDR-Planning Review (2 of which are new issues).
- . Last month LDR-Planning Review performed 95 reviews, 34.7% were on-time, and 39.5% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

CR

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	Ensure that the antennas extend no more than 19-inches from the face of the pole. The antennas are 21" from the pole. Reduce this distance. Attempt to recess the antennas into the pole, if necessary, to achieve the most streamlined design possible. One option may be to locate RRUs behind lights and then mount antennas closer to the light standard. (From Cycle 3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2	WCF with above-ground equipment in a park are permitted with a Neighborhood Development Permit. (From Cycle 3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3	WCF in Open Spaces zones are permitted with a Process 4 Conditional Use Permit. (From Cycle 3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4	Provide equipment area sq. ft. on the title sheet. (From Cycle 3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5	Provide an FAA Determination of No Hazard. (From Cycle 3)

1st Review

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	6	The design of the stadium light standards should mimic the silhouette of the light standard. An example of an approved light standard design will be included with the next assessment letter. T-Mobile should provide a similar design which would result in a more streamlined appearance. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	7	Provide dimensions of the RRU shroud on the plans in a detail. Dimension the height, width/circumference. The design may need to be modified; see example from other project included with assessment letter. (New Issue)





L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 7 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 07/23/2015	Deemed Complete on 07/24/2015
Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Environmental	Cycle Distributed: 07/24/2015	
Reviewer: Cleveland, Travis (619) 446-5407 TCleveland@sandiego.gov	Assigned: 08/10/2015	
	Started: 08/10/2015	
Hours of Review: 1.00	Review Due: 08/10/2015	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Completed: 08/10/2015	COMPLETED ON TIME
	Closed: 08/11/2015	

- . The review due date was changed to 08/10/2015 from 08/26/2015 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: Partial Response to Cmnts/Regs.
- . We request a 3rd complete submittal for LDR-Environmental on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 4 outstanding review issues with LDR-Environmental (1 of which are new issues).
- . Last month LDR-Environmental performed 92 reviews, 30.4% were on-time, and 38.6% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

First Review April 2015

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Other reviewing disciplines have requested additional information to determine completeness. Until this information is provided and the project is deemed complete, EAS staff is not able to complete the environmental review for the project and the environmental processing timeline will be held in abeyance. EAS will coordinate with the other reviewers as the review progresses regarding any additional potential environmental impacts. (From Cycle 3)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	If it is determined that there are no environmental impacts, this project may be exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) per sections 15301 (Existing Facilities) of the CEQA Guidelines. (From Cycle 3)
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	Please be aware that the environmental review may change in response to any project changes and/or new information. Additionally, the new information may lead to the requirement of new and/or additional technical studies. A determination as to the appropriate environmental document will be made based on all reviewed and submitted information. (From Cycle 3)

Second Review August 2015

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	Please see unchecked issues from first review. (New Issue)





L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 7 Submitted (Multi-Discipline) **Submitted:** 07/23/2015 Deemed Complete on 07/24/2015
Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Engineering Review **Cycle Distributed:** 07/24/2015
Reviewer: Huynh, Khanh **Assigned:** 07/26/2015
(619) 446-5299 **Started:** 08/04/2015
KHuynh@sandiego.gov **Review Due:** 08/07/2015
Hours of Review: 2.00 **Completed:** 08/07/2015 **COMPLETED ON TIME**
Next Review Method: Conditions **Closed:** 08/11/2015

- . The review due date was changed to 08/10/2015 from 08/26/2015 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: Conditions.
- . We request a 3rd complete submittal for LDR-Engineering Review on this project as: Conditions.
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 3 outstanding review issues with LDR-Engineering Review (3 of which are new issues).
- . Last month LDR-Engineering Review performed 75 reviews, 85.3% were on-time, and 38.6% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

1st Review issues

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	Please verify the site address. The city system shown the address as 8150 1/3 Lake Aldon Drive instead 6445 Lake Badin Avenue. (From Cycle 3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2	Revise sheet LS-1, to provide the Legal Description information. (From Cycle 3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3	Revise Survey Sheet, sheet LS-1. Add a Bench Mark per the City of San Diego Vertical Control Book. (From Cycle 3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4	Revise the Site Plan, sheet A-2. Add grading table with export quantities for the proposed equipment shelter, footing, and underground conduit. If the quantity is ZERO, add that value to the required Data Table. (From Cycle 3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5	Revise the Site Plan, sheet A-2 to show all site drainage pattern as well as collection/ discharge points for the proposed developments. If the development will not affect the existing drainage patterns, add the following note that states: The proposed equipment enclosure will not block or alter the existing drainage pattern. (From Cycle 3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6	Revise the Site Plan, sheet A-2. Add a note that states: Prior to the issuance of any construction permit, the Permittee shall incorporate any construction Best Management Practices necessary to comply with Chapter 14, Article 2, Division 1 (Grading Regulations) of the San Diego Municipal Code, into the construction plans or specifications. (From Cycle 3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	7	Revise the Site Plan, sheet A-2. Add a note that states: Prior to the issuance of any construction permit the Permittee shall submit a Water Pollution Control Plan (WPCP). The WPCP shall be prepared in accordance with the guidelines in Appendix E of the City's Storm Water Standards. (From Cycle 3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	8	If the there is no proposed work in the city right-of-way, then please state that on the plans. (From Cycle 3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9	The subject development is a standard BMP project. Revise the Site Plan, sheet A-2. Add the "Lessee's Certificate Standard Wireless Facility Project for Post Construction BMPs" which is attached with the Assessment letters. The Lessee needs to sign this certificate on the site plan. (From Cycle 3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	10	Development Permit Conditions will be determined on the next submittal when all requested information is provided. (From Cycle 3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	11	Additional comments may be recommended pending further review or any redesign of this project. These comments are not exclusive. Should you have any questions or comments, please call Khanh Huynh at 619 446-5299. (From Cycle 3)

2nd Review

Conditions

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
-----------------	------------------	-------------------

For questions regarding the 'LDR-Engineering Review' review, please call Khanh Huynh at (619) 446-5299. Project Nbr: 417126 / Cycle: 7





L64A-003A

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	12	The project proposes to export 28 cubic yards of material from the project site. All excavated material listed to be exported, shall be exported to a legal disposal site in accordance with the Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction (the "Green Book"), 2009 edition and Regional Supplement Amendments adopted by Regional Standards Committee. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	13	Prior to the issuance of any construction permit, the Permittee shall incorporate any construction Best Management Practices necessary to comply with Chapter 14, Article 2, Division 1 (Grading Regulations) of the San Diego Municipal Code, into the construction plans or specifications. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	14	Prior to the issuance of any construction permit the Permittee shall submit a Water Pollution Control Plan (WPCP). The WPCP shall be prepared in accordance with the guidelines in Appendix E of the City's Storm Water Standards. (New Issue)





Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Development Services
1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 7 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 07/23/2015	Deemed Complete on 07/24/2015
Reviewing Discipline: ITC-Communications	Cycle Distributed: 07/24/2015	
Reviewer: Hempton, Alexander (619) 446-5349 Ahempton@sandiego.gov	Assigned: 07/29/2015	
	Started: 08/03/2015	
Hours of Review: 0.15	Review Due: 08/07/2015	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Completed: 08/07/2015	COMPLETED ON TIME
	Closed: 08/11/2015	

- . The review due date was changed to 08/10/2015 from 08/26/2015 per agreement with customer.
- . We request a 3rd complete submittal for ITC-Communications on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Last month ITC-Communications performed 3 reviews, 33.3% were on-time, and .0% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

CR

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	This installation uses antennas located on a City park lighting standard. The lighting may be maintained by city workers. The carrier should be made aware that appropriate signs should be installed to notify employees working on the light standard that a hazard exists and the location of the hazard. The carrier should assess the level and location of the hazard and place the appropriate signs per CAL-OSHA requirements. (From Cycle 3)





Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Development Services
1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 7 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 07/23/2015	Deemed Complete on 07/24/2015
Reviewing Discipline: Outside Agency	Cycle Distributed: 07/24/2015	
Reviewer: Hempton, Alexander (619) 446-5349 Ahempton@sandiego.gov	Assigned: 07/29/2015	
	Started: 08/03/2015	
Hours of Review: 0.10	Review Due: 08/07/2015	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Completed: 08/07/2015	COMPLETED ON TIME
	Closed: 08/11/2015	

- . The review due date was changed to 08/10/2015 from 08/26/2015 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: Partial Response to Cmnts/Regs.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for Outside Agency on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 1 outstanding review issues with Outside Agency (all of which are new).
- . Last month Outside Agency performed 4 reviews, 50.0% were on-time, and 50.0% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

Rec Council

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Present project to the Recreation Council for this park for a recommendation. (New Issue)





Cycle Issues

9/18/15 10:54 am

Page 7 of 7

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Development Services
1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 7 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 07/23/2015	Deemed Complete on 07/24/2015
Reviewing Discipline: Community Planning Group	Cycle Distributed: 07/24/2015	
Reviewer: Hempton, Alexander (619) 446-5349 Ahempton@sandiego.gov	Assigned: 07/29/2015	
	Started: 08/03/2015	
Hours of Review: 0.25	Review Due: 08/07/2015	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Completed: 08/07/2015	COMPLETED ON TIME
	Closed: 08/11/2015	

- . The review due date was changed to 08/10/2015 from 08/26/2015 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for Community Planning Group on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 1 outstanding review issues with Community Planning Group (all of which are new).
- . Last month Community Planning Group performed 61 reviews, 44.3% were on-time, and 49.2% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

CPG Recommendation

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Please provide a recommendation from the Navajo Planners. (New Issue)

